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ABSTRACT

Access Control list plays a very important role in network security. Proper combination of rules for ACLs can close loop holes in the system, this minimizing security breaches. An ACL can improvise network performance up to a good level by limiting the traffic controls the areas that can be accessible to any device or user. However, if ACL is not managed properly and efficiently it causes packet latency and degrades the network performance. In this paper we present various optimization mechanisms to achieve an optimal ACL which reduces the Packet latency. We also proposed an efficient optimization algorithm to optimize the ACL to enhance network performance. We also discuss the importance of ACL and the various rule anomalies.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the past decade there has been an enormous growth in the usage of computer for data processing and transfer over the network, not only large organisations but even small scale organisations are using them to a large extend. By this increase the incidents of security breaches threats also has increased. Due the dynamic environment there is a need of proper configuration of security tools, development of advanced algorithms and counter mechanism is required. In networking, Routers play a vital role for preventing internal devices, systems and resources secure. Routers are responsible for forwarding packets from source address to destination address. Another important role of the router is to determine whether the packet is authorized by the network administrator to reach the desired destination, because many of intruders exploit packets for performing security breaches. This can be done by using exploiting improper configured ACL (Access Control List). The ACL is a list of rules to determine whether to forward or discard a packet i.e. it acts as a packet filter. They are configured by network administrator to provide additional security to the internetwork. The ACL can also used for enforcing policies such as NAT (Network address Translation) and Traffic Shaping [2]. Proper ACL configured Router’s examines each packet to determine whether to forward or drop the packet, this decision is based on the rules specified within the access lists leading to secure network and packet flow.

2. BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

Many Valuable contributions have been made by many researchers on the optimization of packets flow on Networks using ACLs on routers etc. But sometimes the settings of improper ACLs may
create loop holes in the system and creating much vulnerability so still there is a great need of deploying proper configured ACLs policies based on algorithms. We studied many paper in the area but we study and get inspired by the valuable work of the following:

William Mahoney, James Harr in July 2010 [3], describes that in Linux or UNIX systems ACL rules are blended with new format and old format of rules, which sometimes tends to create problems in understanding and appropriate implementation of rules. These improper setting of rules can create loop holes in the system leading to vulnerabilities. They use the simplistic rules or permission methods for ACL check of windows and to apply them in the Linux file system.

Wenjuan Xu, Mohamed Shehab, Gail-Joon Ahn, in their paper [4], “Visualization-based policy analysis for SELinux: framework and user study”, proposes a framework for SELinux that provides facility of policy violation identification and visualization policies of security for system administrators in form of visuals. They also implemented tool for analysis of policies and generated results based on experimentation.

A Bobyshev, P DeMar, D Lamore, Fermilab, Batavia, in their paper [5] “Effect of Dynamic ACL (Access Control List) Loading on Performance of CISCO Routers”, uses their results of their experiments, for dynamically setting of different types of ACLs to improvise network infrastructure and performance. They discussed and experimented how frequently ACLs should be updated, updates of passive versus active ACL, and how frequently the updates should be downloaded on routers so it must not effect CPU utilization of routers, etc.

Liu Zhian, in his paper [6], “Study of Network Optimization Method Based on ACL”, provides his valuable contribution by his experiments and mathematical analysis of transferring packets. He use proper applications and load variance of network leading to optimized smooth flow of network. He studied two methods of application on comparison and ACL designing. His results enhance optimized transfer of packets over network.

3. IMPORTANCE OF ACL

ACL provides a very powerful way to control network traffic into or out of a network. Access Control List is important as it facilitates the network administrator by:

- Providing traffic flow control by restricting unwanted routing updates.
- Controlling the areas accessible to a client by restricting the use of network by certain users or devices.
- Increasing network performance by limiting network traffic.
- Providing additional security by restricting the unauthorized packets.
- Controlling type of traffic by filter packets flow in or out of router interfaces.

In spite of all the above, if the ACL is not created effectively, it may add significantly to packet delay and even small ACLs will contribute to this latency simply by their aggregation across several routers[7, 8]. An Example of ACL is given in Figure 1 below.
4. RULE

An ACL is a ordered sequence of rules. Each rule is applied to a packet being processed, router forward or deny the packet based on whether the packet match the rule or not. A rule is shown in Fig. 2 below.

A rule consists of six fields:

(1) Action, which could be either permit or deny.
(2) Protocol, such as IP, TCP, UDP, ICMP etc.
(3) Source addresses range, in the form of an IP address and a wild card mask.
(4) Source port range.
(5) Destination addresses range.
(6) Destination port range.

A typical rule fields defined in access lists are source addresses of the packets, destination addresses of packets, or packets upper layer of protocol. Though specific set of policies or rules are defined for protocols. Whenever a rule is added to a ACL that will be appended to the end of the access list statements. A rule cannot be deleted individually, for that ACL has to be deleted. Network traffic is compared with the access list in a sequential order until a match is found; if matches found then NO further comparisons are made. There is an implicit “deny all” statement at the end of each access list.

\[
\text{deny all} \{\text{implicit}\}
\]
If a packet does not match in the access list, it will be denied by the router due to this reason an ACL must have at least one permit statement all traffic is blocked. The working of Access Control List is explained in Figure 3 below.

Fig.3. ACL Working

5. RULE ANOMALIES

The large ACL may have many conflicts among rules (i.e. Anomalies) like Redundancy, Inconsistency, Shadowing, generalization etc. There are many possible anomalies or conflicts between almost all rule pair, for example rule correlation, rule shadowing, redundancy, generalization and superimposing [9, 10]. It also affects the network performance. These are explained by M. Al. Abdulmohsin [11]. We are explaining these anomalies by taking simple examples in the following sections. These rule anomalies are explained as follows:

5.1 Redundancy Rule:

The actual meaning of redundancy is the repetition of a rule. Two Rules Rs and Rt are said to be redundant if they match the same network traffic. It include the case, Rs = Rt

Rs: access-list 10 permit ip X, Y eq http;
Rt: access-list 10 permit ip W, Z eq http;
Rs and Rt are redundant, If (s < t) and X is the Superset of W and Y is the Superset of Z.
A redundant rule can be easily moved from the ACL without modifying the semantics of ACL.

5.1.1 Rule Overriding:

Two Rules namely, Rs and Rt where Rs precedes Rt; the Rs Overrides Rt If and only if the type
of action is just opposite of each other.
Rs : access-list 10 permit ip X,Y eqssh;
Rt : access-list 10 deny ip X,Y eqssh;
To resolve this conflict the rule which comes after another is to be removed as the ACL rules are
processed in sequence from top to bottom.

5.2 Shadowed Rule:

When a Rule permit specific network traffic and a preceding rule deny that network traffic, than
the rule is said to be a shadowed rule.
A rule, Rs shadows a rule, Rt where, (s < t) and Rs is the superset of Rt. The Rt (shadowed rule)
will never executed as all the fields of Rs are the superset or equal to the respective fields of Rt.

5.3 Covered Rule:

A Rule, Rs is said to be covered by Rule,,Rt if and only if, (s < t ) and ( Rs.act = Rt.act ) and Rt is
the superset of Rs.
A covered rule can be easily removed without changing the semantics as a more generalized ACL
rule is exist their which still process the same network traffic without harming any security
requirements.
There are many algorithms exist that analyzes the conflicts among more the two ACL rules or
more, but they do not able to detect all possible conflicts that are present (mainly correlation) [12,
13].

6. THE PROBLEM STATEMENT

Network administrator can easily add new ACL entries (ACE) according to its needs. Due to this
the size of ACL grows and when the network traffic comes to router it has to compare every
packet with each ACE (or rules) to see if there is a match exist between the packet criteria and
ACE criteria. This process is continuing with every rule in sequence until a match is found or the
last ACE i.e. Deny ip any any comes. There is No problem in case of small ACL but what happen
if the ACL was around 150 lines or larger then it becomes a problem as the router check each
packet with all the rules of ACL [14]. This significantly leads to the increase in the packet delay
timings and also increases latency on packet forwarding through the router.

7. OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES

In optimization, the main aim is to create an optimized ACL from a already existing ACL. An
optimized ACL is a list of rules that fulfils all the security measures and needs a very less
computational delay and CPU utilization. The standard or the metric used to measure the
optimization is Expected Packet Latency (EPL) [11]. As more reduction in the EPL reflects more
better is the optimization techniques. Packet Latency (PL) is the delay in forwarding packet while
it is processed by Rule k. The formula for calculating the Expected Packet Latency is given in
Figure 4 below:
There are two mechanisms for ACL rule’s Optimization, as written below:

- ACL optimization: By reducing size of the ACL
- Hits optimization: By Re-ordering the ACL rules based on Hit counts etc.

In the first method, we find conflicts among rules (Redundancy, Inconsistency, shadowing etc) and try to resolve these conflicts either by deleting a rule, merging rules. Due to these actions the size of ACL reduce and as the ACE are less it takes less time in processing a packet and will lead to reduction in packet latency.

In the second method, the rules are arranged in such a manner that the rules which are frequently matched with the packets will be placed on the top of the access list so that it can reduce the packet latency.

7.1 Optimizing ACL: First mechanism

In this method the ACL is minimized by removing the ACL rules or combining some rules by wildcard masking if possible. So this method will decrease the number of Rules that is to be matched with incoming packet. Now the question arises: which Rules are removed or merged?

The answer of this is we have to remove rules such as redundant rule, shadowed rule; covered rule etc which if removed doesn’t change the semantics of ACL. This is explained as follows:

7.1.1 By Removing Redundant rules:

The redundancy in ACL rules is already described in previous section. Here we elaborate this with an example. Here is an ACL given in Figure 5 below:

In this ACL the Rule R1 and R2 are redundant rules. So the list can be optimized by removing redundant rule as shown below:
7.1.2 By Removing Covered rules:

The covered rule is already described in previous section. Here we elaborate this with example. The ACL example is given below:

```
R1: access-list 10 permit tcp from 172.16.1.1
R2: access-list 10 deny ip from 172.16.1.15
R3: access-list 10 permit ip from 172.16.1.20
```

Fig.6. Optimized ACL

In this ACL, the Rule R2 is covered by Rule R4. So the R2 can be safely removed. The optimized ACL is given below:

```
R1: access-list 10 permit tcp from 172.16.1.1
R3: access-list 10 permit ip from 172.16.10.0/0.0.0.255
```

Fig.7. Original ACL

7.1.3 By Removing Shadowed rules:

The shadowed rules are already discussed in previous section. Here is an example in which the ACL is optimized by reducing shadowed rule. The example is given below:

```
R1: access-list 10 permit tcp from 172.16.1.1
R2: access-list 10 permit ip from 172.16.10.0/0.0.0.255
R3: access-list 10 deny ip from 172.16.1.15
```

Fig.8. Optimized ACL

In this ACL the Rule R2 is a shadowed rule, it can be safely removed. The optimized ACL is given below:

```
R1: access-list 10 permit tcp from 172.16.1.1
R3: access-list 10 deny ip from 172.16.1.15
```
By merging mask-able rule address ranges. The ACL given in example below can be optimized by masking the contiguous address ranges by using wildcard mask.

```
R1: access-list 10 permit tcp from 172.16.1.1
R2: access-list 10 deny ip from 172.16.1.15
```

Fig.10. Optimized ACL

In this ACL the address range of all rules is contiguous and mask-able. This can be optimized by merging rules as explained in our propose work.

```
R1: access-list 10 permit ip from host 172.18.10.8
R2: access-list 10 permit ip from host 172.18.10.9
R3: access-list 10 permit ip from host 172.18.10.10
R4: access-list 10 permit ip from host 172.18.10.11
R5: access-list 10 permit ip from host 172.18.10.12
R6: access-list 10 permit ip from host 172.18.10.13
R7: access-list 10 permit ip from host 172.18.10.14
R8: access-list 10 permit ip from host 172.18.10.15
```

Fig.11. Original ACL

8. PROPOSED WORK

Based on the previous sections, we proposed a improved two level optimization technique. We assume that the ACL contains n rules, where R0 is the first rule and Rn-1 is the last rule. In this firstly the ACL is optimized based on the hit counts of the rules of ACL. These Hit Counts are maintained by the network administrator. This technique simply using a sort technique to traverse the ACL and then a rule say Rk is selected for which k is taking values from 0 to n-3 rule and next rule say Rj is selected for which j is taking values from k+1 to n-2 rule. This technique is comparing the hit count of rule Rk with the hit count of rule Rj, if the hit count of Rj is greater than Rk than Rk and Rj are swapped otherwise rule this process of comparison continues till the second last rule.
This reordered ACL is then again optimized by checking for the rule anomalies and removing the rule if they do not change the semantics and security majors. After deleting rules the ACL boundaries are updated. Finally we get the optimized ACL.

In the improvised optimization algorithm, removal of redundant rules, covered rules and update ACL boundaries are not expanded as already explained by M. Al. Abdulmohsin [11] in his paper. The algorithm for optimization is given below:

ALGO: Optimization Algorithm

//initialize ACL with R₀ as first rule and Rₙ₋₁ as last rule.
Step 1: Repeat
   For k: =0 to n-3
   Step2:  Repeat
      For j: =k+1 to n-2
      Step 3: If hitcnt[Rₖ] > hitcnt[Rⱼ]
      Then
      If j <= n-2
         Goto step 2
      Else
      Swap (Rₖ,Rⱼ);
      Step 4: Else
      Step 5: If j <=n-2
      Then Goto step 2
      Step 6: Else
      If k <= n-3
      Then
      Goto step 1
      Step 7: Repeat
      For s: = 0 to n-3
      Step 8: Repeat
      For t: = k+1 to n-2
      Step 9: If (Rs overrides Rt)
      Then
      Remove rule Rt and update ACL boundaries
      Step 10: If (Rs redundant to Rt)
      Then
      Remove rule Rt and update ACL boundaries
      Step 11: If (Rs covered Rt)
      Then
      Remove rule Rs and update ACL boundaries
      Step 12: If t <= n-2
      Goto step 8
      Step 13: If s <=n-3
      Goto step 7

The time complexity for this algorithm is O (n4). This algorithm optimizes the given ACL twice. Firstly by reordering of rules on the basis of their hit counts, Secondly the reordered ACL is reduced in size by detecting and removing rule anomalies. The rules deleted are such that they do not cause any security holes. This leads to the reduction in packet latency as the rules with high hit count are placed on the top of the ACL hence the chances of packet matching with rules are high. Moreover as number of rules are reduced so it takes less time in matching the packet with
ACL entries. Hence increase the network performance and would be able to allow genuine packets in the network and thus leading to enhanced network flow and security.

9. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper we present improved mechanisms for optimizing Access control lists. These methods have some pros and cons. We done optimization of ACL manually by using these mechanisms but it can also be done by a router or an application such as ACL Manager. Our proposed optimization algorithm is a combination of both the optimization mechanisms. It would be more beneficial for a commercial network. In our further work we would try to apply more appropriate combinations of algorithms and ACL rules on network edge devices like routers, ASA etc, and also would use resource optimization and network throughput etc of the network leading to more effective packets flow and security.
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